

South Bay Cities Council of Governments

**SBCCOG Board of Directors' Meeting
Thursday, January 23, 2014 @ 6:00 pm
SBCCOG/SBESC
20285 Western Avenue
Torrance, California 90501**

To assure a quorum, if you or your alternate representative *cannot* attend the meeting, please contact
SBCCOG Executive Director Jacki Bacharach @ 310-377-8987.
PLEASE NOTE: YOU CAN ALSO FIND SBCCOG AGENDAS ON OUR WEB SITE - www.southbaycities.org

The Board of Directors, with certain statutory exceptions, can only take action upon properly posted and listed agenda items. Written materials distributed to the Board within 72 hours of the Board meeting are available for public inspection immediately upon distribution in the SBCCOG/SBESC office at 20285 Western Avenue, Torrance, CA90501, during normal business hours.
Unless otherwise noted in the Agenda, the Public can only comment on SBCCOG related business that is within the jurisdiction of cities and/or items listed on the Agenda during the Public Comment portion of the meeting (Item #V). The time limit for comments is three (3) minutes per person. Before speaking to the Board, please come to the podium and state: Your name and residence and the organization you represent, if appropriate.

AGENDA

- I. **CALL TO ORDER & SALUTE TO THE FLAG (6:00 PM)**
Dan Medina, Chair
- II. **INTRODUCTIONS**
- III. **CONFIRM POSTING OF THE AGENDA BY TORRANCE CITY CLERK**
- IV. **ANNOUNCEMENTS OF ANY CHANGES TO THE AGENDA**
- V. **COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC**
- VI. **CONSENT CALENDAR (6:05 pm)**
Matters listed under Consent Calendar are considered routine and will be enacted by one motion and one vote. There will be no separate discussion of these items. If discussion is desired, that item will be removed from the Consent Calendar and considered separately.
 - A. **November Board Meeting Minutes (attachment) – Approve**
 - B. **Modification of Employee Benefit Programs (attachments) – Approve**
 - C. **Amended Metro FY 2014-2020 SBHP Funding Request (attachment) – Approve**
 - D. **2012-2013 Financial Audit @ <http://www.southbaycities.org/about-us/financial-documents> – Receive and file**
- VII. **PRESENTATIONS (6:10 pm)**
 - A. **Zero Waste Presentation by Jane Fishman, Freshman @ Mira Costa High School**

VIII. TRANSPORTATION REPORTS

- A. Metro Report by Board member Pam O'Connor (6:30 pm)
- B. Service Council report by Ralph Franklin, Chair (6:35 pm)
 - 1. Update re: on-time bus services in the South Bay
- C. Measure R Oversight Committee Report (Jim Goodhart & Steve Lantz) (6:40 pm)
- D. Monthly Transportation Report from Steve Lantz (*attachment*) – Receive and file (6:45 pm)

IX. SBCCOG ISSUES, REPORTS & UPDATES– Jacki Bacharach (6:55 pm)

- A. South Bay Environmental Services Center program
 - 1. Consulting Contract for Energy Efficiency Climate Action Plans (*information to be sent separately*) – **Approve**
- B. General Assembly (*attachment*)
- C. SCE infrastructure reliability
- D. Sustainability Programs
- E. Strategic Plan Process

X. BOARD MEMBER ANNOUNCEMENTS (7:15 pm)

XI. AGENCY REPORTS (7:20 pm)

- A. League of California Cities (Jim Goodhart & Jeffrey Kiernan)
- B. South Coast Air Quality Management District (Judy Mitchell, Joe Buscaino & Stan Myles)
 - 1. Local Government and Business Advisory Group (Jacob Haik)
- C. SCAG & Committees (Matt Horton)
(*Attachment*)
 - 1. Energy and Environment (Judy Mitchell, Stephen Sammarco)
 - 2. Transportation (John Addleman, Dan Medina)
 - 3. Community, Economic, & Human Development (James Gazeley & Frank Zerunyan)
 - 4. Regional Council (Judy Mitchell, Dan Medina, James Gazeley)
- D. Santa Monica Bay Restoration Commission (Ellen Perkins/Jim Knight)
- E. South Bay Cities City Managers' Association (Artie Fields)
- F. South Bay Association of Chambers of Commerce (Olivia Valentine)
- G. South Bay Workforce Investment Board (Jan Vogel)

XII. UPCOMING EVENTS & ANNOUNCEMENTS

January 29 – Legislative Briefing and Breakfast

XIII. FEBRUARY/MARCH SBCCOG COMMITTEE MEETINGS & WORKING GROUPS

Consult website for specific times and places for other meetings – www.southbaycities.org

XIV. ADJOURNMENT

RSVP for Friday, February 28, 2014

15th Annual General Assembly

**@ Juanita Millender-McDonald Community Center
Carson**

Next Board meeting - Thursday, March 27, 2014



P: present
 X: inactive (missed last 3 in a row)
 Inactive Membership is automatically re-instated by attending a meeting

SBCCOG MEETINGS: ATTENDANCE 2013 - 2014

City	July - 13	August - 13	September - 13	October - 13	November - 13	January - 14
Carson	Davis-Holmes				Dear	
El Segundo	Fuentes	Fuentes	Fuentes		Fuentes	
Gardena	Medina Terauchi	Medina	Medina	Medina	Terauchi	
Hawthorne	Juarez Valentine		Valentine		Valentine	
Hermosa Beach		Duclos	Duclos			
Inglewood	Franklin		Franklin	Franklin	Franklin	
Lawndale	Osborne	Osborne	Osborne	Osborne	Osborne	
Lomita	Gazeley	Gazeley		Gazeley	Gazeley	
Los Angeles	X	Buscaino		Buscaino		
Manhattan Beach	Burton	Burton	Burton Lesser	Burton	Burton	
Palos Verdes Estates	Perkins		Perkins Goodhart		Goodhart Perkins	
Rancho Palos Verdes		Knight		Knight	Knight	
Redondo Beach	Aust	Aust	Aust	Aust	Aust	
Rolling Hills	Dieringer	Dieringer	Dieringer	Dieringer		
Rolling Hills Estates	Seamans	Mitchell	Seamans	Seamans	Seamans	
Torrance		Brewer Weideman	Brewer Weideman	Brewer	Brewer	
County of Los Angeles	Napolitano	Riccitiello Napolitano	Riccitiello	Riccitiello	Napolitano	

Number of Active Agencies	16	17	16	17	17	
Quorum Required (50% +1)	8	9	8	9	9	
Number of Agencies Attending	13	13	14	12	14	

SOUTH BAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 21, 2013
SOUTH BAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS THEATER
20285 S. WESTERN AVENUE, 1ST FLOOR, TORRANCE, CA 90501

I. CALL TO ORDER

1st Vice Chair Goodhart called the SBCCOG Board of Directors meeting to order at 6:01 pm.

II. INTRODUCTIONS

In attendance were the following voting members:

Jim Dear, Carson

Suzanne Fuentes, El Segundo

Terry Terauchi, Gardena

Olivia Valentine, Hawthorne

Ralph Franklin, Inglewood

Jim Osborne, Lawndale

Jim Gazeley, Lomita

Mark Burton, Manhattan Beach

Jim Goodhart, Palos Verdes Estates

Jim Knight, Rancho Palos Verdes

Pat Aust, Redondo Beach

Susan Seamans, Rolling Hills Estates

Tom Brewer, Torrance

Steve Napolitano, LA County SD4

Other Elected Officials:

Ellen Perkins, Palos Verdes Estates

Britt Huff, Councilwoman-Elect Rolling Hills Estates

Pam O'Connor, Santa Monica/MTA Board

Also in attendance were the following persons:

Percy Pinkney, Senator Feinstein's Office

Brian Sutter, Congressman Waxman's Office

Vijay Kopparam, Caltrans, District 7, Los Angeles

JD Douglas, HDR | InfraConsult

Joel Rane, Inglewood

Linda Tatum, Inglewood

Jeff Kiernan, League of CA Cities

Jacob Haik, LA City District 15

Mike Bohlke, Metro Director O'Connor

Alan Patashnick, Metro

Sherif Morcos, The Morcos Group

Jacki Bacharach, SBCCOG

Natalie Champion, SBCCOG

Suzanne Charles, SBCCOG

Marcy Hiratzka, SBCCOG

Rosemary Lackow, SBCCOG

Steve Lantz, SBCCOG Consultant

Wally Siembab, SBCCOG Consultant

Jan Vogel, SBWIB

III. CONFIRM POSTING OF THE AGENDA BY TORRANCE CITY CLERK

Jacki Bacharach confirmed that the agenda was properly posted in the City of Torrance.

IV. ANNOUNCEMENTS OF ANY CHANGES TO THE AGENDA – Jacki Bacharach mentioned that the Metro South

Bay Service Council Report was missing from the agenda. It was added as Item VIII.D.

V. COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC – None

VI. CONSENT CALENDAR

A. October Board Meeting Minutes (attachment) – Approved

B. Enterprise Energy Management Information System (EEMIS)- EECAP Pilot (attachment) –Approved

C. Measure R Items – Technical Bench Contract Hold Harmless Policy- (attachment) - Approved

D. Measure R Quarterly Status Report- (attachment) – Received and Filed

MOTION by Board Member Franklin, seconded by Board Member Seamans, to **approve** the Consent Calendar as submitted. No objection. So ordered.

VII. PRESENTATIONS

A. Recognition of Past SBCCOG Chair (2004-2005) Suzy Seamans Leaving Public Office

1st Vice Chair Goodhart presented Board Member Seamans with gifts from the SBCCOG, and certificates from the Cities of Rolling Hills, Carson, and the California League of Cities. Board Member Seamans' active participation in SBCCOG activities was acknowledged and will be missed.

B. Transportation History Presentation

Joel Rane, Serials & Government Documents Librarian for the City of Inglewood, gave a presentation on the history of transportation development over the last century. He spoke about the street car system and merging of railroad companies in 1911, and showed many pictures and maps.

C. South Bay Workforce Investment Board Quarterly Update

Executive Director, Jan Vogel, reported that:

- The SBWIB is the top performing WIB in the State of California
- Inglewood Mayor, Jim Butts, received the Esther Williams Award of Excellence
- The SBWIB's 5-year plan was certified by the State, forming sector partnerships in particular industries. If anyone knows of a person who wishes to serve on these partnerships, the SBWIB will identify the skill gaps.
- A new teen center was opened in the Inglewood library.
- There were a lot of oil refinery jobs given to local hires last quarter.
- The SBWIB will begin its Transitional Employment Program in January 2014. SBWIB pays participants to work at cities and school districts that need assistance with technical instruction for students 18-21. ANY city can participate, not just those who are SBWIB members.
- A quarterly meeting summary, containing committees' activity reports, was distributed.

VIII. TRANSPORTATION REPORTS

A. Metro Report by Board Member Pam O'Connor

Director O'Connor discussed "First Last Mile Strategic Plan," which SCAG and Metro are working on together. The goal of this plan is to better coordinate infrastructure investments in station areas to extend the reach of transit, with the ultimate goal of increasing ridership. These guidelines help facilitate the integration of mobility solutions in a complex, multi-modal environment. Metro and SCAG aim to improve the user experience by supporting intuitive, safe and recognizable routes to and from transit stations, while also addressing regional planning goals. This item will go before the Metro Board in early 2014. Jacki Bacharach added that the SBCCOG Steering Committee looked at SCAG's high-quality transit area map and found SCAG's definition of "high quality" problematic because it only addressed high quality service in the peak hour. Director O'Connor also mentioned an upcoming Board Item called the Mobility Matrix, a concept initiated by the San Gabriel Valley COG. The goal is to build consensus on a vision for the future mobility, specific to that area. In order to move forward with this goal, it is necessary that the Metro Board allocate \$500,000 for the development of a Sub-regional Transportation Needs Assessment and Strategy for the San Gabriel Valley. If the motion passes, Metro will allocate \$500,000 to the sub-region to complete the following tasks over the next 6-8 months: Identify and develop operational performance goals and objectives, use existing available data to assess existing baseline conditions and establish performance benchmarks, and establish vision and framework for short and long-term sub-regional transportation improvements.

B. Measure R Oversight Committee Report

1. Funding Request to Metro FY 2014-2020 (attachment) – Approved

Steve Lantz said that the development of this list began in September 2013 and thanked South Bay city staff for their assistance and collaboration.

MOTION by Board Member Terauchi, seconded by Board Member Dear, to **approve** the FY 2014-2020 SBHP Metro Budget Request as submitted. And transmit it to the Metro Board for approval. No objection. So ordered.

2. **Revisions to Oversight Committee Administrative Procedures- Approved** – 1st Vice Chair Goodhart, also Chair of the Measure R Oversight Committee, reported that the Oversight Executive Committee reviewed the progress of the Measure R Oversight Committee earlier this year, and made recommended revisions to its existing administrative policy statements (Delegation of Authority). The original Delegation of Authority was included in the Board packet, and italics indicate recommended changes to the existing language.

MOTION by Board Member Terauchi, seconded by Board Member Seamans, to **approve** the Measure R Oversight Committee Administrative Procedures Update as submitted. No objection. So ordered.

- C. Monthly Transportation Report from Steve Lantz (attachment) – Received and filed**
 Steve Lantz reported that Metro’s Avital Shavit gave a presentation on Metro’s new program called “Open Streets” at the November 20 Infrastructure Working Group meeting. He then gave the same presentation to the Board Members. “Open Streets” events prohibit the use of cars and instead, making streets, local businesses, farmers markets, and street fairs available to cyclists, pedestrians, and transit-riders. Metro will allocate \$2 million dollars annually to cities who wish to hold such an event (cities must provide a 20% local match for their proposed budget, and complete the event within 24 months of being accepted, among other requirements.) Metro hopes this will be a competitive application process, and one that promotes sustainability, multi-modal sharing, public transportation, and economic development.
- D. Metro’s South Bay Service Council –** This item was missing from the final version of the agenda. Board Member Franklin reported that on-time service has become an issue, and that the South Bay service is not meeting the system’s standards.

IX. SOUTH BAY ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES CENTER UPDATES (7:10 pm)

- A. Update on programs and activities –** Jacki Bacharach gave updates on the following:
- The SBESC held its 6th Annual Volunteer Recognition Reception just prior to this Board meeting. 1st Vice Chair Goodhart facilitated the event, which presented the SBESC volunteers with gift bags and certificates of appreciation. Offices and organizations represented were: The Cities of Inglewood, Lawndale, Rancho Palos Verdes, Torrance; Congressman Waxman, Senator Lieu, Assemblyman Bradford, Assemblyman Hall, Southern California Gas, Southern California Edison, West Basin MWD, Sanitation Districts, and Metro.
 - The SBESC held another Holiday Light Exchange for Edison customers (2 strands of incandescent lights per household.) This year, customers were given a choice of multi-colored or white LED holiday lights. Images from this three-day event were displayed. There was also great media coverage we were able to recycle all of the old lights at ECOTECH Recycling for E-Waste at 1926 Del Amo Blvd., Torrance. The SBESC is looking for sponsors so that this event, normally funded by Edison, may grow next year.
 - The SBESC’s list of community events and workshops was distributed.

X. SBCCOG ISSUES AND REPORTS – Jacki Bacharach made the following announcements:

- A. Strategic Plan Process Update-** Jan Perkins of Management Partners led two focus groups, one for SBCCOG/SBESC staff, and one for South Bay Elected Officials and City Managers. Both groups brainstormed in order to assess the strengths, weaknesses, and future direction of the SBCCOG. Follow up meetings will be held on December 9, 2013 and January 9, 2014 to further discuss the SBCCOG Strategic Plan. Those Elected Officials leaving office and those who are newly elected are encouraged to fill out the provided survey regarding the Strategic Plan, as the SBCCOG would like their opinions as well.
- B. General Assembly Update-** The 15th Annual General Assembly will be hosted by the SBCCOG on February 28, 2014 at the Carson Community Center. Confirmed speakers include: Dr. Jonathan Fielding, Director of LA County’s Department of Public Health, Jonathan Parfrey of Climate Resolve, and Dan Sturges, innovator and expert in electric and local-use vehicles. This year’s General Assembly will also take a look at the past 14 General Assemblies, acknowledging each of the former SBCCOG Chairpersons, an idea suggested by the late John Parsons who was a former SBCCOG chair..
- C. SCE Reliability Issues-** On December 16, CPUC Commissioner/President, Michael Peevey, will host a meeting with Ted Carver of SCE and Assemblyman Muratsuchi and a delegation of local officials from the South Bay cities, to discuss the problem of power-outage issues. The South Bay City Managers Association originally allocated funds for a consultant to assist with an assessment of the problem, but the CPUC is analyzing the data so the SBCCOG will not need to duplicate efforts by hiring a consultant. The SBCCOG will determine if the consultant’s services are needed in January 2014, and will not deposit any city’s checks received until then.
- D. Sustainable Programs update-** SBESC Environmental Program Specialist, David Magarian has accepted another position and will be leaving the SBCCOG.. A job announcement for his position will be released on Monday, November 25, and applicants are welcome to apply.
- E. Appointments to Other Agencies -** Former Hermosa Beach City Councilman, Jeff Duclos, is no longer on the SBCCOG Board, which means that there is a vacant SBCCOG representative delegation seat on the Santa Monica Bay Restoration Commission. This needs to be filled before December 20.

XI. BOARD MEMBER ANNOUNCEMENTS

- Franklin: With the renovation of The Forum in Inglewood, interviews were held for various positions. Over 1,000 applicants attended.
- Dear: The City of Carson hired an Executive Search firm in its efforts to procure a new City Manager. Also there is a large amount of housing potential in Carson, due to the availability of open space.
- Valentine: The City of Hawthorne has a new Mayor, Chris Brown. Alex Vargas and Angie Reyes-English were reelected to the City Council.
- Fuentes: There is a Measure R project in El Segundo on Maple Avenue, and a ribbon-cutting ceremony will be held in January. This morning, there was a ground-breaking ceremony for The Point, a shopping center off of Rosecrans Avenue and Sepulveda Boulevard.
- Napolitano: Supervisor Knabe will address the state of Los Angeles County and the Fourth District at a luncheon at the Long Beach Convention Center on December 5 at 11:30am.
- Burton: The City of Manhattan Beach named John Jalili Interim City Manager.
- Gazeley: He was reelected to the Lomita City Council.
- Perkins: The City of Palos Verdes Estates is now planning for its 75th Anniversary in 2014.
- Osborne: Harold Hofman. Mayor of Lawndale and long-time Councilman, passed away suddenly on November 16, 2013. Information on the memorial service was announced and Board Member Osborne offered to deliver the SBCCOG's memorial and letter to the family.
- Knight: The League of California Cities now has an MS4 permit working group, which is identifying alternative funding for LA County in particular.
- Seamans: The City of Rolling Hills Estates is holding its Annual Holiday Parade of Lights on December 7 at 6pm. She was asked to be the Parade Grand Marshall this year.

XII. AGENCY REPORTS

- A. League of California Cities** – 1st Vice Chair Goodhart reported that the League's LA Division met yesterday and discussed the State League's Strategic Goals that were recently adopted. The goals focused on economic development, investment in infrastructure, pension reform and post-employment benefits, and funding to support growing community needs. Jeff Kiernan talked about the League's 2013 Division Legislative Scorecard. The name has been changed to "vote record," and was emailed to the Board Members by Mr. Kiernan. It focuses on ten critical bills that the League took position on in 2013. Richard Bloom and Al Muratsuchi were given special recognition for their efforts during this legislative session.
- B. South Coast Air Quality Management District** – Not Present
 - 1. **Local Government and Business Advisory Group** – Not Present
- C. SCAG & Committees** (Matt Horton) – Not Present
 - 1. **Energy and Environment** – Not Present
 - 2. **Transportation** – Not Present
 - 3. **Community, Economic, & Human Development** – Board Member Gazeley reported that the committee recommended that the Regional Council adopt the Draft Sub-Regional Sustainable Communities Strategy Framework and Guidelines. Warren Duffy, Founder of CFACTSoCal, gave a presentation on climate change at the November 7 joint meeting of the Regional Council and policy committees.
 - 4. **Regional Council** - Board Member Gazeley reported that on November 7, the Regional Council waived the FY 2013-14 Membership Dues for the City of San Bernardino, and discussed compensation and salary range adjustments for SCAG classifications.
- D. Santa Monica Bay Restoration Commission** – Board Member Perkins reported that the Commission did not meet in November.
- E. South Bay Cities City Managers' Association** – Not Present
- F. South Bay Association of Chambers of Commerce** – Board Member Valentine reported that she was unable to attend the latest meeting.

XIII. UPCOMING EVENTS & ANNOUNCEMENTS - None

XIV. DECEMBER/JANUARY SBCCOG COMMITTEE MEETINGS & WORKING GROUPS

Consult web site for specific times and places for other meetings – www.southbaycities.org

XV. ADJOURNMENT- There being no further business, 1st Vice Chair Goodhart adjourned the meeting at 8:04 p.m. to Thursday, January 23, 2014, at 6:00 p.m, in memory of Lawndale Mayor, Harold Hofmann.

Respectfully submitted,
 Marcy Hiratzka
 Recording Secretary

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

South Bay Cities Council of Governments

January 23, 2014

TO: SBCCOG Board of Directors
FROM: Steering Committee
SUBJECT: Modification of Employee Benefit Programs

BACKGROUND

The SBCCOG provides its employees with a benefits package in addition to salary. At this time, the benefits package consists of paid vacation, sick leave, life insurance, and an employer contribution to health, dental and vision insurance. The insurance benefit provided is that the employer pays 50% of the employee only cost of the plan selected by the employee. The SBCCOG offers no retirement program currently other than participation in Social Security.

As part of an on-going organizational assessment, which included individual employee interviews, it was identified that employees felt the existing benefits package was not competitive with other employers. A key concern was the lack of any form of retirement savings vehicle for employees. In addition, the SBCCOG has recently lost valued employees to other employers with the benefits package being a main factor in their decision to leave.

The organizational assessment underway also incorporated a review of the SBCCOG's benefit offerings. This included surveying the SBCCOG member agencies except for the City of Los Angeles. While only eight cities responded, the information was useful in identifying that the SBCCOG has the lowest benefit package as it relates to health, dental and vision. A summary of the survey results is attached as Exhibit 1.

Health, Vision & Dental

The employer contributions for these cities, with non-cafeteria plans, range for employee only from a low of \$434.13 to \$1,037.53 per month; two party from \$865.03 to \$1,538.88; and family from \$1080.36 to \$1,873.86. For the two true cafeteria plans the caps range from \$1,080.36 to \$1,472.00 per employee. A cafeteria plan is one in which the monthly allowance provided to the employee for a range of benefits is set and if not used completely applied to benefits, becomes compensation or is applied towards deferred compensation or another retirement savings program.

In comparison, the SBCCOG contributions per employee range from a low of \$156.99 per month to a high of \$466.76, though the vast majority of employees are towards the lower end of the range. It is important to note that the SBCCOG's exposure is towards the upper end of the range as the employee plan selection is completely at the discretion of the employee and that while most employees are choosing the less expensive HMO options, they could be selecting the more costly PPO options.

It is recommended that the SBCCOG transition to a cafeteria style benefits program for health, dental and vision and that a monthly cap of \$500.00 per employee be implemented with any amount within the cap not used to purchase insurance be a required contribution to an IRS Section 457 Deferred Compensation program (discussed below). This approach would fix the SBCCOG's exposure, allow for more certainty in budgeting and would improve our competitive position as an employer.

Retirement

In regard to retirement, all SBCCOG member agencies are covered by a defined benefit program such as CalPERS. Clearly, the SBCCOG does not have the resources to offer a CalPERS type retirement program. However, it would be beneficial to employees if the SBCCOG, as a public agency, were to offer an IRS Section 457 deferred compensation plan. This can be done by contracting with a deferred compensation provider such as the International City/County management Association Retirement Corporation (ICMA-RC). While some employers offer a contribution or match for a section 457 deferred compensation program, this is not required. By offering this vehicle, it would allow employees to save for retirement of a pre-tax basis, in addition to any contributions made through the cafeteria plan proposed for insurance.

Compensatory Time Off

In addition to the vacation and sick leave, most SBCCOG employees also accrue some level of compensatory time off (comp time) for extra hours worked. It is recommended that the current comp time system be replaced with a fixed bank of Administrative Leave for exempt employees. Exempt employees are employees who have been designated exempt from the Fair Labor Standards Act. An annual Administrative Leave Bank of 40 hours for each employee, together with flex scheduling as appropriate and approved on a case-by-case basis, would replace the existing hour-for-hour comp time system.

Summary

The fiscal impact from each component of the recommended revisions is set forth below and is affordable under our grant and partner funded programs:

- As noted above, the SBCCOG is already exposed to possible benefit costs in-line with the \$500 cafeteria cap proposed. This maximum exposure is built into the hourly loaded billing rates for the grant and partner funded programs operated by the SBCCOG. Based on all positions being filled, and employee historical health plan elections, the full cost of transitioning the cafeteria benefits plan proposed is approximately \$48,000 per year. The capacity to absorb these costs exists within the grant and partner funded programs. Approximately 10% of the overall cost would be applied of the non-grant and partner funded programs and can be accommodated with minor revisions to the existing SBCCOG operating budget.
- There would be no-direct cost to implement the IRS Section 457 Deferred Compensation offerings through ICMA-RC. There would be additional administrative time associated with the initial establishment of the program and in the processing of annual enrollments.
- The transition to an Administrative Leave program has the same or less possible fiscal impact since Admin Leave has the same requirements for being paid out upon separation as comp time and there is a fixed annual cap on Admin Leave which is not the case with comp time.

RECOMMENDATION

The Steering Committee recommends approving the following modifications to the SBCCOG employee benefits program:

1. Establish a cafeteria benefits plan with a monthly amount of \$500 per employee to be applied towards health, dental and vision insurance and any unused amounts being applied to deferred compensation effective March 1, 2013, with open enrollment;
2. Adopt a Resolution Relating to a 457 Deferred Compensation Plan and authorize the Chairperson to execute an Administrative Services Agreement with ICMA Retirement Corporation;
3. Approve the implementation of a 40 hour per year Administrative Leave program for exempt employees, effective February 1, 2013.

Prepared by David Biggs with input from Suzanne Charles

Exhibits:

- 1) Summary of Benefits Survey
- 2) Resolution
- 3) Administrative Services Agreement

Redondo Beach	Contribution		Health Insurance Premiums				Dental		Vision	Life	Opt Out
		Health	Health +	Highest	Lowest	PPO	HMO			100/50k	
Mgmt	E	536.00		635.10	451.01	66.98	22.84	4.79		18.00	Misc. and Safety: Effective September 1, 2007, employees may elect not to select medical insurance coverage and receive 50% of the employee's medical coverage premium for the level of coverage for which they are eligible: Employee, Employee +1 or Family.
	EE	964.00		1291.45	922.27	113.87	37.69	8.72		18.82	Teamsters: Employees may elect not to select medical insurance and receive \$150.00 per month in lieu of this benefit.
	E fam	1232.00		1874.00	1356.22	174.14	55.73	13.33		18.82	It's pay-not applied to deferred comp.
CEA	E	726.00		635.10	451.01	66.98	22.84	4.79		9.00	
	EE	973.00		1291.45	922.27	113.87	37.69	8.72		9.82	
	E fam	1177.00		1874.00	1356.22	174.14	55.73	13.33		9.82	
Team	E	541.00		635.10	451.01	66.98	22.84	4.79		9.00	
	EE	973.00		1291.45	922.27	113.87	37.69	8.72		9.82	
	E fam	1244.00		1874.00	1356.22	174.14	55.73	13.33		9.82	
PSA	E	624.00		635.10	451.01	66.98	22.84	4.79		18.00	
	EE	998.00		1291.45	922.27	113.87	37.69	8.72		18.82	
	E fam	1282.00		1874.00	1356.22	174.14	55.73	13.33		18.82	

Torrance	Contribution		Health Insurance Premiums				Dental		Vision	Life	Opt Out
		Health	Health +	Highest	Lowest	PPO	HMO			200/100/50k	
	E	392.68	434.13	624.59	395.50	38.22	17.47	3.23		21.00	Employees hired before Jan. 1, 2008 receive a cash amount of \$226.01 to \$320 for waiving health insurance coverage.
	EE	785.36	865.03	1249.18	791.00	76.44	31.54	6.10		10.50	
	E fam	1020.96	1100.63	1623.93	1028.30	131.87	46.64	8.92		5.25	

Hermosa Beach	Contribution		Health Insurance Premiums				Dental		Vision	Life	Opt Out
		Health	Health +	Highest	Lowest	PPO	HMO			200/?/40k	
Misc	E	732.22	789.26	732.22	610.54	57.04	13.70	8.28		48.00	Professional & Administrative group can opt-out. They receive the HMO single/employee premium per month and have to provide proof of coverage elsewhere.
	EE	1346.44	1460.20	1346.44	1122.14	113.76	23.20	16.56			
	E fam	1346.44	1551.70	2110.80	1770.30	205.26	36.50	21.38			

Carson	Contribution		Health Insurance Premiums				Dental		Vision	Life	Opt Out
		Health	Health +	Highest	Lowest	PPO	HMO			200/150/100k	
	E	1385.00	1472.00	957.05	430.50		87.00	7.07		40.00	Employees opting out of health and/or dental insurance receive either a % of the amount previously paid on the premium or a % of the lowest 2-party premium, whichever is greater, to be placed into a City-sponsored deferred compensation plan credited to the employee. To be eligible for the opt-out provision, employees must submit written proof of equivalent health and/or dental insurance coverage.
	EE	1385.00	1472.00	1914.10	860.99		87.00	12.73			The percentage varies between bargaining units from 50% to 75%.
	E fam	1385.00	1472.00	2488.32	1119.29		87.00	18.39			Employees can purchase other benefits with any unused monies within the cap

RPV	Contribution		Health Insurance Premiums				Dental		Vision	Life	Opt Out
		Health	Health +	Highest	Lowest	PPO	HMO			2X	
	E	972.79	1037.53	972.79	578.50	53.56	14.44	11.38		City paid	Opt out for up to 50% of the premium cost of the least expensive plan
	EE	1446.25	1538.88	1919.71	1157.07	100.04	24.44	20.48			
	E fam	1751.02	1873.86	2529.25	1504.22	151.57	37.78	29.36			

Lawndale	Contribution		Health Insurance Premiums				Dental		Vision	Life	Opt Out
		Health	Health +	Highest	Lowest	PPO	HMO			100/50k	
	E	1080.36		956.28	430.15	42.88	16.93	19.87		City Paid	Opt out available
	EE	1080.36		1912.57	860.31	81.62	27.92	28.76			
	E fam	1080.36		2486.34	1118.40	145.2	41.28	51.25			

Lomita	Contribution		Health Insurance Premiums				Dental		Vision	Life	Opt Out
		Health	Health +	Highest	Lowest	PPO	HMO			50k	
	E	940.00	1018.84	956.28	430.15	58.97		19.87		9.25	One half of \$940 may be applied to deferred comp
	EE	940.00	1087.49	1912.57	860.31	118.73		28.76			
	E fam	940.00	1204.93	2486.34	1118.4	213.68		51.25			

RHE	Contribution		Health Insurance Premiums				Dental		Vision	Life	Opt Out
		Health	Health +	Highest	Lowest	PPO	HMO			2x/200k	
	E	530.75	593.5	957.05	430.5	42.88	16.11	19.87		44.00	No
	EE	928.82	1026.26	1914.1	860.99	80.27	26.54	28.76			
	E fam	1167.65	1310.33	2488.32	1119.29	131.43	39.54	51.25			

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES AGREEMENT

Between

ICMA Retirement Corporation

and

South Bay Cities Council of Government

Type: 45

Account #: 307120

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES AGREEMENT

This Administrative Services Agreement (“Agreement”), made as of the day of , 2013 (herein referred to as the “Inception Date”), between the International City Management Association Retirement Corporation (“ICMA-RC”), a nonprofit corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and the South Bay Cities Council of Governments (“Employer”), an Entity organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with an office at 20285 South Western Avenue, Suite 100, Torrance, California 90501.

RECITALS

Employer acts as public plan sponsor of a retirement plan (“Plan”), and in that capacity, has responsibility to obtain administrative services and investment alternatives for the Plan;

VantageTrust (the “Trust”) is a group trust established and maintained in accordance with New Hampshire Revised Statutes Annotated section 391:1 and Internal Revenue Service Revenue Ruling 81-100, 1981-1 C.B. 326, which provides for the commingled investment of retirement funds held by various state and local governmental units for their employees;

ICMA-RC acts as investment adviser to VantageTrust Company, the Trustee of the Trust;

ICMA-RC has designed, and the Trust offers, a series of separate funds (the “Funds”) for the investment of plan assets as referenced in the Trust’s principal disclosure document, “Making Sound Investment Decisions: A Retirement Investment Guide” and the accompanying VantageTrust Fund Fees and Expenses document (“Retirement Investment Guide”).

The Funds are available only to public employers and only through the Trust and ICMA-RC.

In addition to serving as investment adviser to the Trust, ICMA-RC provides a range of services to public employers for the operation of employee retirement plans including, but not limited to, communications concerning investment alternatives, account maintenance, account recordkeeping, investment and tax reporting, transaction processing, benefit disbursement, and asset management.

AGREEMENTS

1. Appointment of ICMA-RC

Employer hereby appoints ICMA-RC as Administrator of the Plan to perform all nondiscretionary functions necessary for the administration of the Plan. The functions to be performed by ICMA-RC shall be those set forth in Exhibit A to this Agreement.

2. Adoption of Trust

Employer has adopted the Declaration of Trust of VantageTrust Company and agrees to the commingled investment of assets of the Plan within the Trust. Employer agrees that operation of the Plan and the investment, management, and distribution of amounts deposited in the Trust shall be subject to the Declaration of Trust, as it may be amended from time to time and shall also be subject to terms and conditions set forth in disclosure documents (such as the Retirement Investment Guide or Employer Bulletins) as those terms and conditions may be adjusted from time to time.

3. Employer Duty to Furnish Information

Employer agrees to furnish to ICMA-RC on a timely basis such information as is necessary for ICMA-RC to carry out its responsibilities as Administrator of the Plan, including information needed to allocate individual participant accounts to Funds in the Trust, and information as to the employment status of participants, and participant ages, addresses, and other identifying information (including tax identification numbers). Employer also agrees that it will notify ICMA-RC in a timely manner regarding changes in staff as it relates to various roles. This is to be completed through the online EZLink employer contact options. ICMA-RC shall be entitled to rely upon the accuracy of any information that is furnished to it by a responsible official of the Employer or any information relating to an individual participant or beneficiary that is furnished by such participant or beneficiary, and ICMA-RC shall not be responsible for any error arising from its reliance on such information. ICMA-RC will provide reports, statements and account information to the Employer through EZLink, the online plan administrative tool.

Employer is required to send in contributions through EZLink, the online plan administration tool provided by ICMA-RC. Alternative electronic methods may be allowed, but must be approved by ICMA-RC for use. Contributions may not be sent through paper submittal documents.

To the extent Employer selects third-party funds that do not have fund profile information provided to ICMA-RC through our electronic data feeds from external sources (such as Morningstar) or third party fund providers, the Employer is responsible for providing to ICMA-RC timely fund investment updates for disclosure to Plan participants. Such updates may be provided to ICMA-RC through the Employer's investment consultant or other designated representative.

Failure to provide timely fund profile update information, including the source of the information, may result in a lack of fund information for participants, as ICMA-RC will remove outdated fund profile information from the systems that provide fund information to Plan participants.

4. Certain Representations and Warranties

ICMA-RC represents and warrants to Employer that:

- (a) ICMA-RC is a non-profit corporation with full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations under this Agreement. The ability of ICMA-RC to serve as investment adviser to the Trust is dependent upon the continued willingness of the Trust for ICMA-RC to serve in that capacity.
- (b) ICMA-RC is an investment adviser registered as such with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended. ICMA-RC Services, LLC (a wholly owned subsidiary of ICMA-RC) is registered as a broker-dealer with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and is a member in good standing with Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”) and the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (“SIPC”).
- (c) ICMA-RC shall maintain and administer the Plan in compliance with the requirements for eligible deferred compensation plans under Section 457 of the Internal Revenue Code and other applicable federal law; provided, however, that ICMA-RC shall not be responsible for the eligible status of the Plan in the event that the Employer directs ICMA-RC to administer the Plan or disburse assets in a manner inconsistent with the requirements of Section 457 or otherwise causes the Plan not to be carried out in accordance with its terms. Further, in the event that the Employer uses its own customized plan document, ICMA-RC shall not be responsible for the eligible status of the Plan to the extent affected by terms in the Employer’s plan document that differ from those in ICMA-RC’s standard plan document. ICMA-RC shall not be responsible for monitoring state or local law or for administering the Plan in compliance with local or state requirements unless Employer notifies ICMA-RC of any such local or state requirements.

Employer represents and warrants to ICMA-RC that:

- (d) Employer is organized in the form and manner recited in the opening paragraph of this Agreement with full power and authority to enter into and perform its obligations under this Agreement and to act for the Plan and participants in the manner contemplated in this Agreement. Execution, delivery, and performance of this Agreement will not conflict with any

law, rule, regulation or contract by which the Employer is bound or to which it is a party.

- (e) Employer understands and agrees that ICMA-RC's sole function under this Agreement is to act as recordkeeper and to provide administrative, investment or other services at the direction of Plan participants, the Employer, its agents or designees in accordance with the terms of this Agreement. Under the terms of this Agreement, ICMA-RC does not render investment advice, is not the Plan Administrator or Plan Sponsor as those terms are defined under applicable federal, state, or local law, and does not provide legal, tax or accounting advice with respect to the creation, adoption or operation of the Plan and the Trust. ICMA-RC does not perform any service under this Agreement that might cause ICMA-RC to be treated as a "fiduciary" of the Plan under applicable law.
- (f) Employer acknowledges and agrees that ICMA-RC does not assume any responsibility with respect to the selection or retention of the Plan's investment options. Employer shall have exclusive responsibility for the Plan's investment options, including the selection of the applicable mutual fund share class. Where applicable, Employer understands that the VT Retirement Income Advantage Fund is an investment option for the Plan and that the fund invests in a separate account available through a group variable annuity contract. By entering into this Agreement, Employer acknowledges that it has received the Important Considerations document and the Retirement Investment Guide and that it has read the information therein concerning the VT Retirement Income Advantage Fund.
- (g) Employer acknowledges that certain such services to be performed by ICMA-RC under this Agreement may be performed by an affiliate or agent of ICMA-RC pursuant to one or more other contractual arrangements or relationships, and that ICMA-RC reserves the right to change vendors with which it has contracted to provide services in connection with this Agreement without prior notice to Employer.
- (h) Employer acknowledges that it has received ICMA-RC's Fee Disclosure Statement, prepared in substantial conformance with ERISA regulations regarding the disclosure of fees to plan sponsors.
- (i) Employer approves the use of its Plan in ICMA-RC external media, publications and materials. Examples include press releases announcements and inclusion of the general plan information in request for proposal responses.

5. Participation in Certain Proceedings

The Employer hereby authorizes ICMA-RC to act as agent, to appear on its behalf, and to join the Employer as a necessary party in all legal proceedings involving the garnishment of benefits or the transfer of benefits pursuant to the divorce or separation of participants in the Plan. Unless Employer notifies ICMA-RC otherwise, Employer consents to the disbursement by ICMA-RC of benefits that have been garnished or transferred to a former spouse, current spouse, or child pursuant to a domestic relations order or child support order.

6. Compensation and Payment

- (a) **Plan Administration Fee.** The amount to be paid for plan administration services under this Agreement shall be 0.55% per annum of the amount of Plan assets invested in the Trust. Such fee shall be computed based on average daily net Plan assets in the Trust.
- (b) **Mutual Fund Services Fee.** There is an annual charge of 0.15% assessed against average daily net Plan assets invested in the Trust's non-proprietary Trust Series funds.
- (c) **Compensation for Management Services to the Trust, Compensation for Advisory and other Services to The Vantagepoint Funds and Payments from Third-Party Mutual Funds.** Employer acknowledges that in addition to amounts payable under this Agreement, ICMA-RC receives fees from the Trust for investment advisory services and plan and participant services furnished to the Trust. Employer further acknowledges that certain wholly owned subsidiaries of ICMA-RC receive compensation for advisory and other services furnished to The Vantagepoint Funds, which serve as the underlying portfolios of a number of Funds offered through the Trust. For a Trust fund that invests substantially all of its assets in a third-party mutual fund not affiliated with ICMA-RC, ICMA-RC or its wholly owned subsidiary receives payments from the third-party mutual fund families or their service providers in the form of 12b-1 fees, service fees, compensation for sub-accounting and other services provided based on assets in the underlying third-party mutual fund. These fees are described in the Retirement Investment Guide and ICMA-RC's Fee Disclosure Statement. In addition, to the extent that third party mutual funds are included in the investment line-up for the Plan, ICMA-RC receives administrative fees from its third party mutual fund settlement and clearing agent for providing administrative and other services based on assets invested in third party mutual funds; such administrative fees come from payments made by third party mutual funds to the settlement and clearing agent.
- (d) **Redemption Fees.** Redemption fees imposed by outside mutual funds in which Plan assets are invested are collected and paid to the mutual fund by

ICMA-RC. ICMA-RC remits 100% of redemption fees back to the specific mutual fund to which redemption fees apply. These redemption fees and the individual mutual fund's policy with respect to redemption fees are specified in the prospectus for the individual mutual fund and referenced in the Retirement Investment Guide.

- (e) **Payment Procedures.** All payments to ICMA-RC pursuant to this Section 6 shall be made from Plan assets held by the Trust or received from third party mutual funds or their service providers in connection with Plan assets invested in such third party mutual funds, to the extent not paid by the Employer. The amount of Plan assets held through the Trust shall be adjusted by the Trust as required to reflect any such payments as are made from Plan assets invested in the Trust. In the event that the Employer agrees to pay amounts owed pursuant to this section 6 directly, any amounts unpaid and outstanding after 30 days of invoice to the Employer shall be withdrawn from Plan assets held by the Trust.

The compensation and payment set forth in this section 6 is contingent upon the Employer's use of ICMA-RC's EZLink system for contribution processing and submitting contribution funds by ACH or wire transfer on a consistent basis over the term of this Agreement.

7. Contribution Remittance

Employer understands that amounts invested through the Trust are to be remitted directly to the Trust in accordance with instructions provided to Employer by ICMA-RC and are not to be remitted to ICMA-RC. In the event that any check or wire transfer is incorrectly labeled or transferred to ICMA-RC, ICMA-RC may return it to Employer with proper instructions.

8. Indemnification

ICMA-RC shall not be responsible for any acts or omissions of any person with respect to the Plan or related Trust, other than ICMA-RC in connection with the administration or operation of the Plan. Employer shall indemnify ICMA-RC against, and hold ICMA-RC harmless from, any and all loss, damage, penalty, liability, cost, and expense, including without limitation, reasonable attorney's fees, that may be incurred by, imposed upon, or asserted against ICMA-RC by reason of any claim, regulatory proceeding, or litigation arising from any act done or omitted to be done by any individual or person with respect to the Plan or related Trust, excepting only any and all loss, damage, penalty, liability, cost or expense resulting from ICMA-RC's negligence, bad faith, or willful misconduct.

9. Term

This Agreement shall be in effect and commence on the date all parties have signed and executed this Agreement (“Inception Date”). This Agreement may be terminated without penalty by either party on sixty days advance notice in writing to the other; provided however, that the Employer understands and agrees that, in the event the Employer terminates this Agreement (or replaces the VT PLUS Fund as an investment option in its investment line-up), ICMA-RC retains full discretion to release Plan assets invested in the VT PLUS Fund in an orderly manner over a period of up to 12 months from the date ICMA-RC receives written notification from the Employer that it has made a final and binding selection of a replacement for ICMA-RC as administrator of the Plan (or a replacement investment option for the VT PLUS Fund).

10. Amendments and Adjustments

- (a) This Agreement may be amended by written instrument signed by the parties.
- (b) ICMA-RC may amend this agreement by providing 60 days’ advance written notice to the Employer prior to the effective date of such proposed amendment. Such amendment shall become effective unless, within the 60-day notice period, the Employer notifies ICMA-RC in writing that it objects to such amendment.
- (c) The parties agree that enhancements may be made to administrative and operations services under this Agreement. The Employer will be notified of enhancements through the Employer Bulletin, quarterly statements, electronic messages or special mailings. Likewise, if there are any reductions in fees, these will be announced through the Employer Bulletin, quarterly statement, electronic or special mailing.

11. Notices

All notices required to be delivered under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be delivered, mailed, e-mailed or faxed to the location of the relevant party set forth below or to such other address or to the attention of such other persons as such party may hereafter specify by notice to the other party.

ICMA-RC: Legal Department, ICMA Retirement Corporation, 777 North Capitol Street, N.E., Suite 600, Washington, D.C., 20002-4240
Facsimile; (202) 962-4601

Employer: at the office set forth in the first paragraph hereof, or to any other address, facsimile number or e-mail address designated by the Employer to receive the same by written notice similarly given.

Each such notice, request or other communication shall be effective: (i) if given by facsimile, when transmitted to the applicable facsimile number and there is appropriate confirmation of receipt; (ii) if given by mail or e-mail, upon transmission to the

designated address with no indication that such address is invalid or incorrect; or (iii) if given by any other means, when actually delivered at the aforesaid address.

12. Complete Agreement

This Agreement shall constitute the complete and full understanding and sole agreement between ICMA-RC and Employer relating to the object of this Agreement and correctly sets forth the complete rights, duties and obligations of each party to the other as of its date. This Agreement supersedes all written and oral agreements, communications or negotiations among the parties. Any prior agreements, promises, negotiations or representations, verbal or otherwise, not expressly set forth in this Agreement are of no force and effect.

13. Titles

The headings of Sections of this Agreement and the headings for each of the attached schedules are for convenience only and do not define or limit the contents thereof.

14. Incorporation of Schedules

All Schedules (and any subsequent amendments thereto), attached hereto, and referenced herein, are hereby incorporated within this Agreement as if set forth fully herein.

15. Governing Law

This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California, applicable to contracts made in that jurisdiction without reference to its conflicts of laws provisions.


In Witness Whereof, the parties hereto certify that they have read and understand this Agreement and all Schedules attached hereto and have caused this Agreement to be executed by their duly authorized officers as of the Inception Date first above written.

SOUTH BAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

By _____ Date _____
Signature

Name and Title (Please Print)

INTERNATIONAL CITY MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION
RETIREMENT CORPORATION

By 
Angela C. Montez
Assistant Corporate Secretary

Please return fully executed contract to: New Business Unit
ICMA-RC
777 North Capitol Street NE
Suite 600
Washington DC 20002-4240

Exhibit A

Administrative Services

The administrative services to be performed by ICMA-RC under this Agreement shall be as follows:

- (a) Participant enrollment services, including providing a welcome package and enrollment kit containing instructions and notices necessary to implement the Plan's administration. Employees will enroll online or through form. ICMA-RC will provide an enrollment link through the general ICMA-RC web site. Plan sponsor will also make available the online enrollment link in their Intranet site or via email to new employees. Employer can also enroll employees through EZLink.
- (b) Establishment of participant accounts for each employee participating in the Plan for whom ICMA-RC receives appropriate enrollment instructions. ICMA-RC is not responsible for determining if such Plan participants are eligible under the terms of the Plan.
- (c) Allocation in accordance with participant directions received in good order of individual participant accounts to investment funds offered under the Plan. Participants can complete allocations through Investor Services, Voice Response System or through Account Access, the secure participant online system provided by ICMA-RC.
- (d) Maintenance of individual accounts for participants reflecting amounts deferred, income, gain or loss credited, and amounts distributed as benefits.
- (e) Maintenance of records for all participants for whom participant accounts have been established. These files shall include enrollment instructions (provided to ICMA-RC through Account Access, EZLink or form), beneficiary designation instructions and all other documents concerning each participant's account, and if applicable, records of any transaction conducted through the Voice Response Unit ("VRU"), Account Access or other electronic means.
- (f) Provision of periodic reports to the Employer through EZLink. Participants will have access to account information through Investor Services, Voice Response System, Account Access and through quarterly statements that can be delivered electronically through Account Access or by postal service.
- (g) Communication to participants of information regarding their rights and elections under the Plan.
- (h) Making available Investor Services Representatives through a toll-free telephone number from 8:30 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday (excluding holidays and days on which the securities

markets or ICMA-RC are closed for business (including emergency closings), to assist participants.

- (i) Making available a toll-free number and access to VantageLine, ICMA-RC's interactive VRU, and ICMA-RC's web site, to allow participants to access certain account information and initiate plan transactions at any time. Account access and VantageLine are normally available 24 hours a day, seven days a week except during scheduled maintenance periods designed to ensure high-quality performance. The scheduled maintenance window is outlined at <https://harper1.icmarc.org/login.jsp>
- (j) Distribution of benefits as agent for the Employer in accordance with terms of the Plan. Participants who have separated from service can request distributions through Account Access or via form.
- (k) Upon approval by the Employer that a domestic relations order is an acceptable qualified domestic relations order under the terms of the Plan, ICMA-RC will establish a separate account record for the alternate payee and provide for the investment and distribution of assets held thereunder.
- (l) Loans may be made available on the terms specified in the Loan Guidelines, if loans are adopted by the Employer. Participants can request loans through Investor Services or Account Access.
- (m) Guided Pathways – Participant Advice and Guidance may be made available through a third party vendor on the terms specified on ICMA-RC's website.
- (n) ICMA-RC will determine appropriate delivery method (electronic and/or print) for plan sponsor/participant communications and education based on a number of factors (audience, effectiveness, etc.)

Resolution No. 2014-1

A RESOLUTION OF THE SOUTH BAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS TO ESTABLISH A 457 DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

Account Number 30 - _____

Name of Employer: South Bay Cities Council of Governments State: California

Title of Program Coordinator: Executive Director (see definition below for duties of Program Coordinator)

WHEREAS, The South Bay Cities Council of Governments (“Employer”) has employees rendering valuable services; and

WHEREAS, the establishment of a deferred compensation plan for such employees serves the interests of the Employer by enabling it to provide reasonable retirement security for its employees, by providing increased flexibility in its personnel management system, and by assisting in the attraction and retention of competent personnel; and

WHEREAS, the Employer has determined that the establishment of a deferred compensation plan to be administered by the ICMA Retirement Corporation serves the above objectives; and

WHEREAS, the Employer desires that its deferred compensation plan be administered by the ICMA Retirement Corporation, and that some or all of the funds held under such plan be invested in the VantageTrust Company, a trust established by public employers for the collective investment of funds held under their retirement and deferred compensation plans;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Employer hereby adopts the deferred compensation plan (the “Plan”) in the form of The ICMA Retirement Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan and Trust, referred to as Appendix A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Employer hereby executes the Declaration of Trust of the VantageTrust Company, attached hereto as Appendix B, intending this execution to be operative with respect to any retirement or deferred compensation plan subsequently established by the Employer, if the assets of the plan are to be invested in the VantageTrust Company.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the assets of the Plan shall be held in trust, with the Employer serving as trustee, for the exclusive benefit of the Plan participants and their beneficiaries, and the assets shall not be diverted to any other purpose.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Employer hereby agrees to serve as trustee under the Plan.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Executive Director shall be the coordinator for this program; shall receive necessary reports, notices, etc. from the ICMA Retirement Corporation or the

VantageTrust Company; shall cast, on behalf of the Employer, any required votes under the VantageTrust Company; Administrative duties to carry out the plan may be assigned to the appropriate departments; and is authorized to execute all necessary agreements with ICMA Retirement Corporation incidental to the administration of the Plan.

PASSED, APPROVED, and ADOPTED this 23rd day of January, 2014

ATTEST:

Daniel Medina
Chairperson

Marcy Hiratzka
Board Secretary

South Bay Cities Council of Governments

January 23, 2014

TO: SBCCOG Board of Directors

FROM: Measure R Oversight Committee
Jim Goodhart, Chair

SUBJECT: Metro FY 2014 -2020 SBHP Budget Request Amendment

BACKGROUND

The SBCCOG Cooperative Agreement with Metro requires that the SBCCOG submit an annual budget request to Metro staff for the South Bay Highway Program (SBHP) by December. Metro's fiscal year begins in July, but the agency requires several months to develop and adopt its budget.

In reviewing the projects to determine the scope and schedule of the requested studies, it was discovered that the study cost for the Redondo Beach Boulevard project in Lawndale which includes completing plans, specifications and environmental documentation and acquiring right-of-way was incorrectly noted as \$50,000 rather than the \$771,000 requested by the city. The typographical error was due to the fact that the city had requested \$50,000 within the study budget to cover its administrative costs and the SBCCOG mistakenly determined that the budget request was for \$50,000 rather than \$771,000. The costs are being included in the Metro Budget request amendment but the actual amount would be contingent on the outcome of the SBHP Administrative and Support Cost Policy being considered in Item VII on this Measure R Oversight Committee agenda.

Although the Metro Budget Request was approved by the SBCCOG Board at the November 21, 2013 meeting in order to meet the requirement that the plan be submitted to Metro staff by December 2013, Metro staff believes there is time to include the Lawndale project change to be incorporated into the request which is expected to be considered on the March 2014 Metro Board agenda.

RECOMMENDATION

The Measure R Oversight Committee recommends SBCCOG Board of Directors approve:

- 1) an amendment to the November 2013 Metro budget request to correctly reflect the City of Lawndale request for its Redondo Beach Boulevard PS&E study cost to go from \$50,000 to \$771,000 (as reflected in the attached Exhibit 1); and
- 2) transmittal of the amended request to the Metro Board of Directors for their approval in March 2014.

Amended SBCCOG South Bay Measure R Highway Program FY 2014-2020 Metro Budget Request

Annual Allocation in Metro LRTP (in \$1K)	\$35,900	\$35,900	\$57,700	\$18,700	\$18,700	\$18,700	\$18,700	\$5,900
Annual Available Measure R Funds	\$25,900	\$44,025	\$55,863	\$67,135	\$49,103	\$42,526	\$38,116	\$14,541
Annual Project Allocations	\$17,775	\$24,062	\$46,428	\$36,732	\$25,277	\$23,110	\$25,791	\$11,737
Unallocated Annual Carry Over	\$8,125	\$19,963	\$9,435	\$30,403	\$23,826	\$19,416	\$12,325	\$2,804

Note: The amount of available SBHP Measure R funds each year is the sum of the Annual Allocation in the Metro LRTP + the unallocated carry over from the previous year. The sum of annual project allocations in each year is subtracted from the Annual Available Measure R funds in the same year with the remainder being the unallocated carry over for that year.

New \$			Increased \$			Reduced \$			Closed									
Identification	Location	Allocated Fundings	Current Allocation	Prev Year	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	Cummulative Change					
Old ID	Metro ID	Project Sponsor	Facility	Limits	City/ County	Description	Original Budget/ Funding											
F29	MR312.31	* SBCCOG	Various	Various	Various	South Bay Subregional ITS Plan	\$7,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000	
SB01		* SBCCOG	Early Action Contingency			Early Action Contingency	\$5,000	\$3,500	\$2,500	\$0	\$1,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$14,700)
SB05		* SBCCOG	Strategic Positioning Reserve Funds			Strategic Positioning Reserve Funds	\$18,200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$4,377)
SB02		* SBCCOG	Measure R SBHP			Program Contingency	\$4,377	\$12,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$12,000
	MR312.01	* SBCCOG	Measure R Highway Program		South Bay	Program Development, Oversight, and Administration - Total	\$6,754	\$3,989	\$0	\$0	\$576	\$562	\$539	\$600	\$500	\$594	\$617	(\$2,765)
SB03		SBCCOG	Measure R Highway Program		South Bay	Project Development		\$7,321	\$0	\$700	\$3,510	\$1,490	\$321	\$1,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,321
		SBCCOG	El Segundo Commuter Bikeways	Aviation Blvd, Douglas St. and El Segundo Blvd	El Segundo	Feasibility study to establish three bicycle corridors within the city limits which are near large employers and adjacent to green line stations.		\$150	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150
F2	MR312.02	SBCCOG	Measure R Highway Program	Manchester/La Cienega	Inglewood	PSR for Bundled Projects: Channelize and raise median Manchester Boulevard from Ash Avenue to La Cienega Boulevard, Improve turn radii La Cienega Boulevard at Manchester Boulevard, Improve turn radii and through-right lane La Cienega Boulevard at Florence Avenue	\$186	\$50	\$0	\$0	\$50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$136)
		SBCCOG	Measure R Highway Program	Redondo Beach Blvd. at I-405, from Hawthorne Boulevard to Prairie Avenue	Lawndale	PS&E / ROW Acquisition - Signal upgrades, concrete pads for transit, ADA ramps		\$771	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$450	\$321	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$771
		SBCCOG	Measure R Highway Program	Vincent Thomas Bridge 110 Connector	Los Angeles	Vincent Thomas Bridge 110 Connector (Port of Los Angeles)		\$1,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000
F55		SBCCOG	Measure R Highway Program	Western /Sepulveda	Torrance	Design and Environmental Only: Add northbound left-turn lane; widen and restripe for dual eastbound left-turn lanes and westbound right-turn lanes, modify signals AND WESTBOUND DOUBLE LEFT TURN LANES.		\$1,850	\$0	\$0	\$1,850	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,850
		SBCCOG	Measure R Highway Program	PCH/Hawthorne Park and Ride	Torrance	PCH / Hawthorne Park and Ride Feasibility Study		\$150	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150
		SBCCOG	Measure R Highway Program	PCH/Aviation	Hermosa Beach	Feasibility Study PCH / Aviation Roadway, signalization, pedestrian lighting improvements, and sidewalk widening on Aviation Boulevard from Prospect Avenue to Pacific Coast Highway and on Pacific Coast Highway (SR-1) from Aviation Boulevard to Herondo Street		\$100	\$0	\$0	\$100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100
F40		SBCCOG	Sepulveda Blvd	El Segundo Blvd to Artesia Blvd.	Manhattan Beach	PSR/PSRE for the implementation of PCH Study Improvements		\$50	\$0	\$0	\$50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$50
		SBCCOG	Measure R Highway Program	Vista Montana / Anza / PCH	Torrance	FA Assistance		\$10	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10
		SBCCOG	Hawthorne Boulevard	at 182nd Street, Spencer Street, Emerald Street, and Lomita Boulevard	Torrance	FA Assistance		\$10	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10

Amended SBCCOG South Bay Measure R Highway Program FY 2014-2020 Metro Budget Request

Annual Allocation in Metro L RTP (in \$1K)	\$35,900	\$35,900	\$57,700	\$18,700	\$18,700	\$18,700	\$18,700	\$5,900
Annual Available Measure R Funds	\$25,900	\$44,025	\$55,863	\$67,135	\$49,103	\$42,526	\$38,116	\$31,025
Annual Project Allocations	\$17,775	\$24,062	\$46,428	\$36,732	\$25,277	\$23,110	\$25,791	\$22,383
Unallocated Annual Carry Over	\$8,125	\$19,963	\$9,435	\$30,403	\$23,826	\$19,416	\$12,325	\$8,641

Note: The amount of available SBHP Measure R funds each year is the sum of the Annual Allocation in the Metro L RTP + the unallocated carry over from the previous year. The sum of annual project allocations in each year is subtracted from the Annual Available Measure R funds in the same year with the remainder being the unallocated carry over for that year.

New \$	Increased \$	Reduced \$	Closed
--------	--------------	------------	--------

Identification		Location		Allocated Fundings												Cumulative Change	
Old ID	Metro ID	Project Sponsor	Facility	Limits	City/ County	Description	Current Allocation	Prev Year	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19		2019/20
		SBCCOG	Measure R Highway Program	South Bay Arterials	Various	South Bay Arterial Performance Monitoring implementation Study	\$300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300
	MR312.30	Metro/Caltrans	I-405 and I-105	I-1405 from I-110 to I-105 and I105 from I-405 to Crenshaw	Caltrans	Corridor Refinement Studies	\$1,000	\$700	\$0	\$700	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$300)
F49	MR312.09	Torrance	Pacific Coast Highway	at Crenshaw Avenue	Torrance	Preliminary Design EIR and P.S.&E. for operational improvements	\$1,840	\$1,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$540)
		Torrance	Hawthorne Boulevard	at 182nd Street, Spencer Street, Emerald Street, and Lomita Boulevard	Torrance	P.S.&E for roadway widening to construct new northbound right turn lanes	\$1,050	\$880	\$0	\$0	\$440	\$440	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$170)

N34	MR312.37	Carson	Sepulveda Boulevard	from Alameda Street to ICTF Driveway	Carson	Widen from four lanes to six lanes, rehabilitate bridge.	\$1,158	\$1,158	\$0	\$1,158	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		County	Various	Regional	South Bay	2013 Call for Projects South Bay Forum systemwide operational improvements, coordination and timing, and ITS improvements	\$1,138	\$1,021	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$401	\$620	\$0	(\$117)
N32	MR312.16	County / Los Angeles	Del Amo Boulevard	from Western Avenue to Vermont Avenue	County / Los Angeles	Reconstruct and widen from one lane in each direction to two lanes in each direction	\$26,920	\$26,820	\$0	\$1,000	\$900	\$0	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$4,920
N46	MR312.39	El Segundo	Vista Del Mar	north of southern City Limit	El Segundo	Roadway safety improvements: realign S-Curve	\$1,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$1,500)
N55		El Segundo	Park Place	Nash Street to Allied Way	El Segundo	Park Place roadway extension and railroad grade separation project	\$0	\$350	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$350	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$350
F39	MR312.27	El Segundo	Sepulveda Boulevard	from Imperial Highway to El Segundo Boulevard	El Segundo	PCH Study Improvements: Implement PCH Study Recommendations (8)	\$400	\$400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
N69	MR312.22	El Segundo	Maple Avenue	from Sepulveda Boulevard to Parkview Avenue	El Segundo	Improve signage and roadway to provide a connection between northbound Sepulveda Boulevard and the eastbound I-105 ramps.	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
N42	MR312.17	Gardena	Rosecrans Avenue	from Vermont Avenue to Crenshaw Boulevard	Gardena	Install median, left turn pockets, intersection upgrade. Coordinated with County TSSP project.	\$5,140	\$5,140	\$300	\$317	\$4,523	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
N67	MR312.21	Gardena	Vermont Avenue	from Rosecrans Avenue to 182nd Street	Gardena	Addition of turn pockets, channelization, pavement upgrade, traffic signal improvements, and minor concrete work	\$2,350	\$2,350	\$150	\$132	\$2,068	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
N53	MR312.19	Gardena	Artesia Boulevard	at Western Avenue	Gardena, Torrance	Add westbound dual left turns.	\$675	\$675	\$75	\$0	\$600	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
P5		Gardena	Park & Ride Facility	Southeast corner of Rosecrans Boulevard and Wadkins Ave (vacant lot)	Gardena	Construction of at park and ride facility at the southeast corner of Rosecrans Boulevard and Wadkins Ave. (vacant lot)	\$427	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$427)
N26	MR312.44	Hawthorne	Hawthorne Boulevard	El Segundo Boulevard to Rosecrans Avenue	Hawthorne	Improve traffic signals; add left-turn pockets; pedestrian, transit & handicap access improvements.	\$4,171	\$5,366	\$0	\$0	\$4,171	\$1,195	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		Hawthorne	Prairie Avenue	from 118th Street to Marine Avenue	Hawthorne	Signal improvements on Prairie Avenue from 118th Street to Marine Avenue	\$1,237	\$1,237	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$618	\$619	\$0
F11	MR312.03	Hawthorne	Rosecrans Avenue	From I-405 Southbound off-ramp to Isis Avenue	Hawthorne	Widen Rosecrans Avenue to accommodate southbound off-ramp receiving lane.	\$2,100	\$2,100	\$2,100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

* Scope to be determined, then to be transferred to appropriate lead agency who will do the project.*

Amended SBCCOG South Bay Measure R Highway Program FY 2014-2020 Metro Budget Request

Annual Allocation in Metro L RTP (in \$1K)	\$35,900	\$35,900	\$57,700	\$18,700	\$18,700	\$18,700	\$18,700	\$5,900
Annual Available Measure R Funds	\$25,900	\$44,025	\$55,863	\$67,135	\$49,103	\$42,526	\$38,116	\$14,541
Annual Project Allocations	\$17,775	\$24,062	\$46,428	\$36,732	\$25,277	\$23,110	\$25,791	\$11,737
Unallocated Annual Carry Over	\$8,125	\$19,963	\$9,435	\$30,403	\$23,826	\$19,416	\$12,325	\$2,804

Note: The amount of available SBHP Measure R funds each year is the sum of the Annual Allocation in the Metro L RTP + the unallocated carry over from the previous year. The sum of annual project allocations in each year is subtracted from the Annual Available Measure R funds in the same year with the remainder being the unallocated carry over for that year.

New \$		Increased \$		Reduced \$		Closed												
Identification	Location	Allocated Fundings	Current Allocation	Prev Year	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	Cummulative Change					
Old ID	Metro ID	Project Sponsor	Facility	Limits	City/ County	Description												
N14	MR312.33	Hawthorne	Aviation Boulevard	at Marine Avenue	Hawthorne	Construct westbound right-turn lane. Aviation Boulevard Phase 1: Intersection Projects	\$600	\$2,100	\$0	\$600	\$0	\$0	\$1,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,500
F44	MR312.38	Hermosa Beach	Pacific Coast Highway	at Aviation Boulevard	Hermosa Beach	Add southbound dual left turn lanes	\$872	\$872	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$872	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
F45	MR312.05	Hermosa Beach	Pacific Coast Highway	from Artesia Boulevard to Anita Street	Hermosa Beach		\$368	\$368	\$0	\$0	\$368	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		Inglewood	Phase V	City of Inglewood	Inglewood	Communication Gap closure on various locations, TS Upgrade and Arterial Detection	\$586	\$384	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$192	\$192	\$0	(\$202)
N6	MR312.12	Inglewood	Phase IV	Various	Inglewood	4th Phase of Citywide ITS Master Plan (central computer system with fiber optic interconnection linking all signalized intersections for two-way communications)	\$3,500	\$3,500	\$300	\$3,200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
N25	MR312.36	Lawndale	Various	Citywide	Lawndale	Traffic Signal Improvements Citywide	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$0	\$150	\$0	\$1,350	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
N22	MR312.15	Lawndale	Inglewood Avenue	from 156th Street to I-405 southbound on-ramp	Lawndale	Extension of Phase 2 widening to I-405 southbound ramp	\$500	\$500	\$100	\$400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
F53	MR312.43	Lomita	Pacific Coast Highway	at Walnut	Lomita	Improve receiving lane of northern leg of intersection	\$900	\$900	\$0	\$0	\$900	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
N31		Los Angeles	Del Amo Boulevard	from Western Avenue to Vermont Avenue	Los Angeles	Review of Feasibility study	\$0	\$100	\$0	\$0	\$100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100
		Los Angeles	Anaheim Street	Farragut Avenue to Dominguez Channel	Los Angeles	Widen Anaheim Street from 78' to 84' and restripe to accommodate an additional lane in each direction; this would improve the roadway from 4 lanes to 6 lanes (SCAG RTP ID: 21120118)	\$2,354	\$2,298	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$310	\$280	\$1,708	\$0	(\$56)
N13	MR312.32	Manhattan Beach	Aviation Boulevard	at Marine Avenue	Manhattan Beach	Add dual southbound left-turn lanes. Aviation Boulevard Phase 1: Intersection Projects	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
F43	MR312.35	Manhattan Beach	Sepulveda Boulevard	at Manhattan Beach Boulevard	Manhattan Beach	Add northbound, westbound and eastbound dual left turn lanes and southbound right-turn lane	\$980	\$980	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$980	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
N57	MR312.34	Manhattan Beach	Aviation Boulevard	at Artesia Boulevard	Manhattan Beach	Construct southbound right-turn lane. Aviation Boulevard Phase 1: Intersection Projects	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
F41	MR312.28	Manhattan Beach	Sepulveda Boulevard	from 33rd Street to south of Rosecrans Avenue	Manhattan Beach	Add one northbound lane by widening bridge no. 53-62	\$9,100	\$9,100	\$0	\$4,550	\$4,550	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
F42	MR312.04	Manhattan Beach	Sepulveda Boulevard	at Marine Avenue	Manhattan Beach	Add westbound dual left turns	\$235	\$235	\$0	\$0	\$235	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
F38	MR312.24	Metro / Caltrans	I-110	Southbound I-405 from SR-91 to Del Amo Boulevard Undercrossing	Metro / Caltrans	PAED: Auxiliary lane on southbound I-110 from westbound SR-91 connector to Torrance Boulevard off-ramp and evaluate feasibility of a new flyover ramp from northbound I-405 to southbound I-110 Connector	\$1,150	\$20,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,150	\$300	\$2,000	\$5,900	\$5,900	\$4,750	\$0	\$18,850
FN 1	MR312.29	Metro / Caltrans	Pacific Coast Highway and Parallel Arterials	From Imperial Highway to I-110	County, El Segundo, Hawthorne, Manhattan Beach	ITS: Pacific Coast Highway and Parallel Arterials from I-105 to I-110	\$9,000	\$9,000	\$0	\$0	\$7,000	\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
F60	MR312.11	Metro / Caltrans	I-405, I-110, I-105, SR-91	at freeway ramp/arterial signalized intersections	Carson, County, El Segundo, Hawthorne, Inglewood, Los Angeles, Lawndale, Redondo Beach, Torrance	DCCM: Coordinate freeway ramp/arterial intersections with arterial corridors. (e.g. NB I-405 Off-Ramp at Artesia Boulevard, SB I-110 Off-Ramp at Pacific Coast Highway)	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Amended SBCCOG South Bay Measure R Highway Program FY 2014-2020 Metro Budget Request

Annual Allocation in Metro L RTP (in \$1K)	\$35,900	\$35,900	\$57,700	\$18,700	\$18,700	\$18,700	\$18,700	\$5,900
Annual Available Measure R Funds	\$25,900	\$44,025	\$55,863	\$67,135	\$49,103	\$42,526	\$38,116	\$31,025
Annual Project Allocations	\$17,775	\$24,062	\$46,428	\$36,732	\$25,277	\$23,110	\$25,791	\$22,383
Unallocated Annual Carry Over	\$8,125	\$19,963	\$9,435	\$30,403	\$23,826	\$19,416	\$12,325	\$8,641

Note: The amount of available SBHP Measure R funds each year is the sum of the Annual Allocation in the Metro L RTP + the unallocated carry over from the previous year. The sum of annual project allocations in each year is subtracted from the Annual Available Measure R funds in the same year with the remainder being the unallocated carry over for that year.

New \$		Increased \$		Reduced \$		Closed												
Identification	Location	Allocated Fundings	Current Allocation	Prev Year	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	Cummulative Change					
Old ID	Metro ID	Project Sponsor	Facility	Limits	City/ County	Description												
		Metro / Caltrans	I-110	from Artesia Boulevard to I-405	Los Angeles	Implement an Integrated Corridor Management System along the SR -110 Corridor between Artesia Boulevard and the I-405. The project will integrate freeway, arterial and transit operations, implement a Decision Support System for coordinated agency operations and traveler information systems.	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000	\$0	\$0		
N18	MR312.42	Redondo Beach	Inglewood Avenue	at Manhattan Beach Boulevard	Redondo Beach	Add southbound right-turn lane south of railroad tracks to Manhattan Beach Boulevard	\$5,175	\$5,175	\$0	\$0	\$125	\$185	\$4,865	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
N19	MR312.14	Redondo Beach	Inglewood Avenue	at Manhattan Beach Boulevard	Redondo Beach	Install eastbound right-turn arrow	\$30	\$30	\$30	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
N17	MR312.13	Redondo Beach	Aviation Boulevard	at Artesia Boulevard	Redondo Beach	Construct eastbound right-turn lane. Aviation Boulevard Phase 1: Intersection Projects	\$22	\$22	\$22	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
N58	MR312.20	Redondo Beach	Aviation Boulevard	at Artesia Boulevard	Redondo Beach	Construct northbound right-turn lane. Aviation Boulevard Phase 1: Intersection Projects	\$847	\$847	\$847	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
F47	MR312.07	Redondo Beach	Pacific Coast Highway	at Torrance Boulevard	Redondo Beach	Add northbound right-turn lane	\$586	\$586	\$59	\$527	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
F48	MR312.08	Redondo Beach	Pacific Coast Highway	at Palos Verdes Boulevard	Redondo Beach	Install westbound right-turn lane	\$320	\$320	\$32	\$288	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
F46	MR312.06	Redondo Beach	Pacific Coast Highway	from Anita Street to Palos Verdes Boulevard	Redondo Beach	PCH Study Improvements: Implement PCH Study Recommendations (11)	\$1,400	\$1,400	\$1,400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
P4	MR312.23	Torrance	Torrance Regional Transit Center	465 Crenshaw Boulevard	Torrance	Construct a regional Transit Center including an 8 bus berth transit center building, a kiss-n-ride passenger drop-off, and a park-and-ride vehicle lot for 250 vehicles for the initial parking space provision	\$18,100	\$18,100	\$1,000	\$10,500	\$6,600	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
B7A	MR312.25	Metro / Caltrans	I-405	at 182nd St. / Crenshaw Boulevard	Torrance	I-405 at 182nd St./Crenshaw Boulevard improvements	\$1,700	\$20,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,700	\$0	\$300	\$2,000	\$5,900	\$5,900	\$4,200	\$18,300
B7B	MR312.26	Torrance	I-405	at 182nd St. / Crenshaw Boulevard	Torrance	I-405 at 182nd St./Crenshaw Boulevard improvements	\$300	\$15,300	\$0	\$0	\$300	\$5,000	\$0	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$15,000
F50	MR312.40	Torrance	Pacific Coast Highway	at Vista Montana/Anza Avenue	Torrance	Restripe to add southbound through lane & signal modification for protected northbound/southbound left-turn phasing. Modify striping to accommodate a longer northbound left-turn lane	\$2,900	\$2,900	\$0	\$0	\$2,900	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
F51	MR312.10	Torrance	Pacific Coast Highway	at Hawthorne Boulevard	Torrance	Add northbound, eastbound, and westbound right-turn lane, add eastbound left-turn, signal upgrades	\$19,600	\$19,600	\$1,300	\$0	\$300	\$18,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
N47	MR312.18	Torrance	Maple Avenue	at Sepulveda Boulevard	Torrance	Add southbound right-turn pocket	\$600	\$600	\$60	\$540	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		Torrance	PCH	From Calle Mayor to Janet Lane	Torrance	Safety guardrail, fencing and landscaping project to prevent illegal mid-block pedestrian crossing and vehicle incursion onto PCH from a frontage road on the southside of PCH used as a student drop off area for South High School which is on the north side of PCH. The amount of SBHP funding is limited to the lesser of \$852K or the funds needed beyond a potential federal safety grant to construct the southside safety improvements		\$852	\$0	\$0	\$852	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$852
		Torrance	PCH	Madison Ave	Torrance	Signal upgrades to provide left-turn phasing		\$500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100	\$400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500
		Torrance	Crenshaw	From Del Amo to Dominguez	Torrance	3 Southbound turn lanes @ Del Amo Blvd, 208th St, Transit Center Entrance, Signal Improvements at 2 and new signal @ Transit Center		\$3,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,800	\$1,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

South Bay Cities Council of Governments

January 23, 2014

TO: SBCCOG Board of Directors

FROM: Steve Lantz, SBCCOG Transportation Consultant

SUBJECT: SBCCOG Transportation Update –January 2014

Federal Update

Federal Transit Tax Benefit Reduced December 31st

The federal commuter tax benefit that had been in effect since 2009 was not renewed as part of a recent federal budget deal. It had allowed a person to set aside \$245 a month in pretax income to pay for public transportation, but that credit dropped back to \$130 a month at the end of 2013. The credit for public-transit commuters had been increasing since 2009, when it was nearly doubled from \$120 a month to \$230 a month as part of the federal stimulus package. It jumped to \$240 a month in 2012 and to \$245 this year.

Commuters are eligible for both the public-transit and parking benefits. Drivers also can set aside \$250 a month before taxes to pay for parking, and that credit is on the books through the end of next year. While the transit benefits dropped, parking benefits increased at the same rate the past two years and will jump again by \$5 on Jan. 1.

Congressman Earl Blumenauer Proposes Federal Gas Tax Increase, VMT Tax
Congressman Earl Blumenauer introduced HR3636 on December 3rd titled “Update, Promote, and Develop America’s Transportation Essentials Act of 2013”, or “The Update Act” for short. The bill would increase the federal gas tax from 18.4 cents to 33.4 cents per gallon over 10 years and would include an indexing provision to keep pace with inflation in order to fund the federal transportation program at current levels.

The next day Blumenauer introduced H.R. 3638 that would set up a Road Usage Fee Pilot Program, which he said would study mileage-based fee systems to replace the federal gas tax over the next decade. His favorite solution is a vehicle miles tax similar to the strategy implemented in Oregon last year to address increasing fuel-efficiency, electric cars, hybrid cars, and just increased efficiency of conventional gasoline engines. The federal gas tax has not been increased since 1993.

Sacramento Update

Electric Car Power Storage Might Fuel The California Power Grid

A pilot project at the University of Delaware is exploring whether the same electric cars that take power from the grid can later send it back to the grid. The pilot project has had enough success to set off a frenzy of activity in the auto and electricity industries, particularly in California, where Gov. Jerry Brown's transportation plan this year promoted "vehicle-to-grid" technology. Entrepreneurs and government agencies see the technology as a possible solution to affordably

storing renewable energy so it can be available when it is needed, not just when the wind blows or the sun shines.

The idea is that utilities would pay vehicle owners to store electricity in the batteries of electric vehicles when the power grid has a surplus and drain electricity back out of them when demand rises. The "Cash Back Car" is how the concept is described by Jon Wellinghoff, the recently retired chair of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

The technology could solve a potentially serious problem. The power grid needs to maintain a steady and balanced flow of power. Sudden surges threaten crashes that can cause blackouts. That makes the stop-and-go nature of energy from the wind and sun a constant source of worry. A cost-effective method of storing renewable energy and controlling its flow into the system has long eluded the energy industry. Carmakers also worry what all this usage will do to their batteries and caution that such use would affect their warranties.

However, the week before Christmas, the Pentagon transported 13 Nissan Leafs to a Southern California Edison charging facility in Pomona as part of a \$20-million program involving dozens of vehicles at Los Angeles Air Force Base and the Naval Air Weapons Station at China Lake. The Pentagon hopes to eventually employ the technology at bases across the country, which could jump-start mass production of the chargers and software involved.

An even bigger challenge is reshaping utility regulations, electricity markets and the complicated tangle of algorithms that form the backbone of the grid for electric vehicles to send the energy to the grid when it is needed and the vehicles are idle. Staff members at the California Public Utilities Commission are exploring the regulatory changes that would be needed.

Southern California Update

OCTA Votes To Widen I-405 Without A Toll Lane

Although OCTA and Caltrans staff initially recommended a I-405 freeway's \$1.47 billion widening project that included mixed-flow lanes and toll lanes, the board decided on December 9th to spend \$1 billion to widen bridges to accommodate 4 new lanes, but to initially construct only one mixed-flow lane in each direction in order to not preclude either an additional mixed flow, HOV or HOT lanes in the future.

Two questions were raised during the spirited debate that might also have implications for new ExpressLane proposals in L. A. County. The first was whether the state government will end up diverting any excess revenue generated by toll lanes across the state to other state transportation needs such as maintenance. The second concern was whether the state would assert its control over toll lane policies as owner of the facility once OCTA funded and constructed the expanded facility

OCTA staff assured the committee that existing law already requires that the extra toll lane revenues — beyond paying off their construction and operational costs — be invested in the local communities around the freeway. They also pointed out that although Caltrans will make the final decision on whether to pursue toll lanes, OCTA will have significant influence in the decision since OCTA will provide the funding needed to construct the improvements. But OCTA elected officials worried that state lawmakers could later change those laws and redirect much of the money. They also expressed concern about a potential lawsuit or state legislation from a

coalition of cities and legislators in the I-405 Orange County corridor that oppose the toll lane. Construction of the widening project should start in 2015 and be completed by 2020.

LAX Airport Commission Approves 4 New FlyAway Routes Including One In Torrance

Facing a 2015 deadline to have 9 FlyAway bus routes in service, the LAWA Airport Commission on December 2nd approved adding four new routes to the five existing routes (Van Nuys, Union Station, Westwood/UCLA, Irvine, and Expo/La Brea). The new routes to open:
Santa Monica (near the Civic Auditorium / Civic Center / Expo Line) – Summer 2014
Hollywood (at Vine Street south of Hollywood Blvd.) - Fall 2014
Orange Line (in San Fernando Valley near Van Nuys Blvd.) – Late 2014
Torrance (at Torrance Transit Center on Vermont north of Torrance Blvd.) – Mid 2015

Metro Initiates First / Last Mile Study To Improve Access to Rail Stations

In an effort to improve access to Metro’s rail stations, the Board on December 5th voted to:

1. identify two stations for each line which would benefit from implementation of First/Last improvements based on recommendations outlined in Metro’s First/Last Mile Study;
2. identify funding to implement the improvements including working with jurisdictions to utilize and/or supplement existing Call funding without impact to other transit lines;
3. coordinate further development of design concepts to prototype a seamless regional First/Last Mile vision for potential implementation at other transit line stations including Crenshaw, Regional Connector and the Westside Subway in addition to rail lines already authorized for construction or presently in operation; and
4. allow “sub-regional funding” (e.g.: Measure R SBHP funds) to be an eligible local source of funding for projects (similar to the Call For Projects approach) that are eligible under sub-regional fund guidelines and meet the first/last mile funding eligibility criteria.

Metro and LAWA to Pursue Parallel Planning Studies For LAX/Crenshaw Connector

The Metro Board approved a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Los Angeles World Airports (LAWA) on December 5th to undertake a parallel design and to subsequently fund the construction of modifications to the Crenshaw/LAX Aviation/Century Station to accommodate elements of LAWA’s Specific Plan Amendment Study. Under the plan, LAWA will study people mover alternatives to link to the Aviation/Century light rail station and Metro will design modifications needed to accommodate the people mover at the light rail station.

In a related initiative, L. A. Mayor Eric Garcetti and L. A. City Councilman Mike Bonin advocated in an L. A. Times Commentary on December 8th for Metro to study re-routing the Crenshaw / LAX light rail line to directly connect with the automated people mover in a new Intermodal Transportation Facility (ITF) envisioned by the proponents to be built using LAWA funding on airport property near Lot C and Sepulveda, a mile west of the current Aviation / Century station. Additional funding would be needed beyond the LAX Connector budget in the Metro Measure R Expenditure Plan.

Using a parallel planning process, LAWA would initiate a planning process for the automated people mover that will circulate through the terminals to meet environmental approval requirements of state and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). Metro would initiate studies to environmentally clear the ITF alternative under state CEQA regulations and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) federal environmental review process. Although the state processes are consistent, FTA and FAA environmental planning requirements and processes differ significantly.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

South Bay Cities Council of Governments

January 23, 2014

TO: SBCCOG Board of Directors

FROM: Jacki Bacharach, Executive Director

RE: Energy Efficiency Climate Action Plans Consultant Selection

BACKGROUND

In May, 2013, Southern California Edison (SCE) awarded the South Bay Cities Council of Governments (SBCCOG) \$771,630 to draft Energy Efficiency Climate Action Plans (EECAPs). The Scope of Work includes updating the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions inventories, setting GHG emissions reduction targets, and identifying energy efficiency strategies to reduce GHG emissions for each city in the South Bay and the sub-region. SCE issued the SBCCOG a Notice to Proceed for this work on November 26, 2013.

CONSULTANT SELECTION PROCESS

The SBCCOG released a Request for Proposal on December 4, 2013 for consulting services in the development of the EECAPs for the cities and sub-region. Proposals were submitted on January 3, 2014. The SBCCOG received five proposals ranging in costs from \$362,905 to \$404,991.

A selection committee was formed to review the proposals, participate in interviews for top candidate firms, and make recommendations on selection. The committee members include SBCCOG staff, member city staff, and a Climate Action Planning expert from UCLA.

The selection committee convened on January 10, 2014 to evaluate proposals and decided to interview all five firms on January 16, 2014.

After evaluation of all proposals, interviews and reference checks, the selection committee recommends Atkins. Through the proposal and the interview, Atkins demonstrated a thorough understanding of the Scope of Work, a clear grasp of the technical components, and a strong project management approach and team.

RECOMMENDATION

Authorize the SBCCOG staff to negotiate contract with Atkins for up to \$405,000. This project is fully paid for from the SCE funding to the SBCCOG for this purpose.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

15th Annual General Assembly

YOU'RE INVITED

Why Must We Care: The Cost of the Changing Environment to the South Bay” This will be a **free** event for elected officials, city staff, city commissioners, community and business leaders, along with the general public.

FEBRUARY

28, 2014

8:45 a.m. to 2:30 p.m.
(Registration opens at 8:30 a.m.)

FRIDAY

*Juanita Millender McDonald
Community Center*

801 East Carson Street, *Carson*

RSVP at: www.southbaycities.org

Start the conversation — Seven of our confirmed featured speakers include:

Richard Atwater, the Executive Director of the Southern California Water Committee.

Dr. Jonathan E. Fielding, M.D., M.P.H., the Director of Los Angeles County Department of Public Health and the County Health Officer responsible for all public health functions for the County's 10 million residents.

Christina Curry, Assistant Director of the California Office of Emergency Services.

Dr. J.R. DeShazo, Ph.D., M.Sc., the Director of the Luskin Center for Innovation and Vice Chair of the Department of Public Policy in the Luskin School of Public Affairs at UCLA.

Michael McCormick, AICP, the Local and Regional Affairs Advisor and Senior Planner at the State of California Governor's Office of Planning and Research, as well as Founding Board Member at The Alliance of Regional Collaboratives for Climate Adaptation (ARCCA).

Jonathan Parfrey, the Executive Director of Climate Resolve, an organization that seeks to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and prepare for climate impacts.

Dan Sturges, a designer and innovator in mobility concepts. He has been profiled in publications such as the Wall Street Journal and has presented at TED. He was named by Popular Science as 1 of 7 transportation-future visionaries.

WE THANK OUR BENEFACTORS:

AES Redondo Beach
Chevron Products Company
E & B Natural Resources

WE THANK OUR SPONSORS:

California Fuel Cell Partnership
Car2Go - Daimler Corporation
Daily Breeze
Dart Container Corporation
Enterprise Rent-a-Car
Iteris, Inc.
smart USA - MBUSA LLC
Northrop Grumman
Parsons Brinckerhoff
South Coast AQMD
Southern California Edison
Verengo Solar
Walmart
Water Replenishment District
Watson Land Company
West Basin MWD
Western States Petroleum Association



SOUTH BAY CITIES
COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

South Bay Cities Council of Governments

January 23, 2014

TO: SBCCOG Board of Directors

FROM: Dan Medina, Frank Zerunyan, Judy Mitchell & John Addleman

SUBJECT: Regional Council and Committee reports for January SCAG meetings

COMMUNITY, EDUCATION & HUMAN DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE – from Frank Zerunyan

One-on-One Meetings with Local Jurisdictions to Provide Assistance for a Bottom-Up Local Input Process

Jan February March meetings and April May for follow up. Already the local jurisdictions are engaged and SCAG expects a smooth process. They are and will be reaching out to sub regions. They will have a local implementation survey along with this. The meetings may take up to 20 hours depending on the size of the jurisdiction. SCAG is willing to provide the technical support for jurisdictions if need be.

NOTE: SCAG staff is attending the SBCCOG January 15 Livable Communities Working Group meeting to discuss these meetings.

Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (2016 RTP/SCS) Growth Forecast: Implications on Latino Labor Force and Higher Education

Interesting presentation on Growth Forecast and implications for the region. Focus on Hispanic population growth in the SCAG region. Correlation to higher education and employment. I suspect the SBCCOG area is a little different than the entire SCAG region but it cannot be that different. The full presentation is available under agenda item 3. Some discussion addressed the responsibility of policy makers to focus on higher education as well as technical education in various communities especially in the Hispanic community. SCAG will follow up with this education component next.

Equitable Economic Development to Address Poverty Issues: *The Role of Education and Training and What Else is Needed?*

This presentation comes from the Economic Summit of December 5. The presentation is under agenda item 4 and the materials from the economic summit are on the SCAG web site.

NOTE FROM ZERUNYAN: Based on these reports, it may be a good thing for the SBCCOG to engage the local colleges and the University to discuss the engagement of this growing community to assure their educational success as their success is the success of the region. If they fall behind, the region will fall behind in innovation, skills and jobs. The SBCCOG may be a catalyst for raising awareness of this topic in our cities and region.

Update on 2016 South Coast Air Quality Management Plan.

USEPA sets National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). The South Coast air basin has been designated non-attainment for the NAAQS and therefore must demonstrate how it will come into attainment by submitting its State Implementation Plan. The committee heard an update on the 2016 Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP). The plan must address the new 2008 EPA 8-hour ozone standard of .075 parts per million (ppm) and include this in the State Implementation Plan (SIP) demonstrating attainment. The 2016 AQMP must also include an update to the previously submitted 1997 8-hour ozone and the 1-hour ozone SIPs, and the new annual PM 2.5 standard. There are extreme challenges to meet the standards required for the 2016 AQMP. The requirements include reducing regional NOx emissions by 65% (or more) by 2023 and by 75% by 2032 to attain the 1997 and the 2008 8-hour ozone standards. NOx is a precursor to ozone, reacting with sunlight to create ozone. Motor vehicles are the primary contributors to NOx emissions and ozone. SCAG will prepare its portion of the 2016 AQMP, which includes a growth/travel forecast, Regional Transportation Strategy and Control Measures (2016 RTP/SCS), due in July 2016.

Advanced Clean Air Technologies Update

Henry Hogo, Assistant Deputy Executive Officer, Mobile Source Division, South Coast Air Quality Management District presented an update on Advanced Engine Control technologies in the freight movement sector, highlighting anticipated technologies now under development, such as battery electric, fuel cell/hybrid, natural gas/hybrid, catenary or wayside power, and alternative fuels.

Port of Long Beach Advanced Clean Technologies Implementation Update

Richard Cameron, Acting Managing Director of Environmental Affairs and Planning, Port of Long Beach gave an update on the Technology Advancement Program (TAP) with the goal of reaching zero-emission vehicles, Vision Motors has a hydrogen fuel cell plug-in hybrid electric truck; International Rectifier has a plug-in hybrid electric truck; ships are using electric shore power and emission control systems. CARB has a rule that 50% of fleets must plug in to shore power by January 1, 2014.

Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)/Joint Work Program with San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) to implement the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS re: (1) Countywide Vision for sustainability, (2) Countywide Routes to Schools Inventory, (3) Executive study on development and access to key transit corridors and (4) Collaboration on conservation and open-space identification and planning. Passed unanimously after slight discussion. (Presented to and passed at the other two committees too.)

Transportation Alternative Program (TAP) Update - State's Preliminary Draft Active Transportation Program Guidelines

Sarah Jepson, a recent addition to SCAG staff from Metro, presented the item. The California Transportation Commission has put out these guidelines. SCAG funding is approx. \$25,000,000 per Year. During her presentation, John Addleman requested that the SCAG program take into consideration Metro's concept for slow speed multi-modal traffic. This concept is more inclusive

and he requested that SCAG allow funds for class II roller lanes and defined by Metro (not just bicycle lanes). Ms Jepson stated she will include this in the SCAG proposal.

The joint comment letter from the commissions regarding the draft Guidelines to meet the December 31 deadline is not yet done and will be distributed at a later date. These comments are intended to increase the competitiveness of Southern California agencies for statewide funds, reduce admin burden for SCAG and project sponsors, provide greatest possible flexibility for implementation of the regional competitions, ensure timelines are feasible, and support the state and region in demonstrating progress in advance of MAP-21 Reauthorization to preserve TAP funding.

SB 743: Facilitating Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) in Southern California

Ping Chang presented this item. Allows for CEQA exemption/streamlining opportunities, not mandates, within transit priority areas (TPA): 1. The project needs to be residential, mixed-use development or the defined employment center (zoned for commercial use with a floor area of 0.75 or higher) 2. The project will implement and is consistent with a specific plan for which an environmental impact report has been certified. 3. The project is consistent with the general use designation, density, building intensity and applicable policies specified for the project area in either an adopted MPO regional sustainable communities strategy or alternative planning strategy, which has been accepted by the State Air Resources Board.

OPR is required to circulate draft provisions by July 1, 2014 establishing criteria for determining the significance of transportation impacts of projects within TPAs using alternative metrics for traffic level of service.

The streamlining provisions do not relieve public agencies of the requirement to analyze a project's significant transportation impacts.

Congressional intention to eliminate Gas Tax by 2025??

REGIONAL COUNCIL – Dan Medina & Judy Mitchell

- Adopted the Subregional Sustainable Communities Strategy Framework and Guidelines for the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS.
- Transportation of Goods Movement becoming a National interest. Sustainable movement of freight issue is coming up.
- Old Cars are too old to recycle
- ARB appointment of Judy Mitchell – waiting for confirmation.
- Business report - Interest Rates are due to go up.
- SB751 passed – disallows secret ballots per amendment to the Brown Act.
- "E-Voting" system to be purchased
- Audit Committee Report - received the 2012-2013 external financial audit report and the actuarial report as of 6/30/13 on OPEB.
 - Fund Post Retirement Funds. (CALPERS)
 - Unfunded Health & Medical Benefits
 - \$519,282 paid annually by SCAG (retiree Health Benefits)
 - Actuarial Value of Assets. \$2.253,854 (soothing) @ 7%
 - Total 10.000.000 accountValued Liability \$6.072.549
 - Not including unfunded Liability/Contributions/Interest.
- RHNA and Housing Element Reform Subcommittee Charter adopted
- Jan Michaels.....passed Away at age 67

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK



SOUTH BAY CITIES
COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

Please save the date!

The SBCCOG would like to invite you to a

**Breakfast and Briefing
with our Legislators & their Staff**

Wednesday, January 29, 2014
8:00 am - 9:30 am

SBCCOG Theater
20285 S. Western Ave, 1st Floor
Torrance, CA 90501

WHO IS INVITED:

State and Federal Legislators and their staff
Mayors and City Councilmembers in the South Bay
City Managers

Anyone in your local office, who works with our cities, wants to
know more about our programs, or wants to network

Please RSVP to Natalie Champion (natalie@southbaycities.org)

For further information, please email Jacki Bacharach
(jacki@southbaycities.org)

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK